

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/989,192 | 11/21/2001 | Richard G. Sheets | 37809-0018 | 9322 | | |
| 20055 | 590 05/21/2003 | AUTIFFFIIP | EXAMI | NER | | |
| HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1666 K STREET,NW | | | | CINTINS, IVARS C | | |
| SUITE 300 WASHINGTO | N, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NOMBER | | |
| | | | 1724 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 45 |
|----|
|----|

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/989,192

Examiner

Art Unit 1724

Sheets

Office Action Summary

| | | Ivars Cintins | 1724 | |
|---|---|--|--|----------------|
| _ | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the corres | pondence address - | - |
| A SH | for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | |
| mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a), date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date | nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days v ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the r se the application to become ABANDONED (3) | vill be considered timely. nailing date of this commu 5 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| earned Status | patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 28, 2 | 2003 | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) X This ac | tion is non-final. | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | except for formal matters, prose arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 | cution as to the ma | erits is |
| Disposit | tion of Claims | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 21-44 | is | are pending in the | application. |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>21-28 and 33-44</u> | is | /are withdrawn fro | m consideratio |
| | Claim(s) | | | |
| | Claim(s) 29-32 | | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | |
| | Claims | | | |
| Applicat | tion Papers | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/ar | reaD accepted or bD object | ed to by the Exam | iner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the c | | | |
| 11)∐ | The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | | b) disapproved | by the Examine |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | |
| | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | |
| _ | . Certified copies of the priority documents hav | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | · · |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure e the attached detailed Office action for a list of th | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | this National Stage | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | e). | |
| a) 🗌 | The translation of the foreign language provisiona | | 0 ,. | |
| 15)□ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | and/or 121. | |
| Attachme | nt(s) | _ | | ŀ |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper | · | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | (PTO-152) | |
| -, M | Paper No(s) | 6) Other: | | |

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant's election of Group IIc, claims 29-32, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 21-28 and 33-44 are withdrawn from further consideration, as being directed to non-elected inventions.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what "thickness" is represented by the unit "wgt" in line 2 of claim 32. Also, the term "regular" (claim 32, line 3) is vague, and indefinite as to the type of protuberances intended.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

Art Unit: 1724

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guymon (U.S. Patent No. 5,252,138). reference discloses a method for reclaiming dredged material comprising placing the dredged material (i.e. soil 30) into a container (i.e. processing vessel 12), injecting remedial water (i.e. via 22) into the material to leach contaminants (i.e. oil 14) from this material, and dewatering the contaminated material (i.e. by removing water 21). Accordingly, this reference discloses the claimed invention with the exception of the recited liner, plastic barrier and type of injector employed (i.e. pipes). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the container of the reference system with an impermeable liner, in order to prevent leakage during the remedial water treatment step. Similarly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide this reference container with a cover in order to prevent treatment water from overflowing this container. Furthermore, injecting water via pipes is well known; and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ this known technique to contact the

Art Unit: 1724

treatment water and contaminated soil with one another in the system of Guymon. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a container having the depth recited in claim 31 in the reference system, in order to treat a corresponding amount of soil. Similarly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the injector pipes of the modified reference system at the depth recited in claim 31, in order to ensure adequate contact between the remedial water and soil undergoing treatment in this reference system.

Claim 32 would be <u>allowed</u> if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if further amended to overcome the above rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Also, non-elected claims 21-28 and 33-44 should be <u>canceled</u>.

Norris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,849,360) discloses decontaminating soil in a covered impermeable container (col. 2, lines 37-42).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00

Art Unit: 1724

PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas Dunn, can be reached at (703) 308-3318.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins May 17, 2003